EXHIBIT A

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

CHRISTIAN FHOLINKA

----X Index No.: 114120-06

Date Filed: 9/29/06

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., 11/k/a RHONE POULENC AG COMPANY. n/k/a BAYER CROPSCIENCE INC., ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, AQUA-CHEM, INC., BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORPORATION. Individually and as successor in interest to AMERICAN HOSPITAL SUPPLY CORP. and AMERICAN SCIENTIFIC PRODUCTS, BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC., Individually and as successor in interest to

AMERICAN HOSPITAL SUPPLY CORP. and AMERICAN SCIENTIFIC PRODUCTS. BECKMAN COULTER, INC.,

CBS CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a VIACOM INC. successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, a Pennsylvania Corporation. f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION.

CERTAIN TEED CORPORATION.

CORNING GLASS,

k/n/a CORNING INCORPORATED, E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS and COMPANY, EMPIRE-ACE INSULATION MFG. CORP., FISHER SCIENTIFIC INTERNATIONAL INC., GARLOCK SEALING TECHNOLOGIES LLC. f/k/a GARLOCK INC., INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY. KEWAUNEE SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION. LENNOX INDUSTRIES, INC., MANORCARE HEALTH SERVICES, INC., d/b/a MANOR CARE, INC., Individually and as successor to PRECISION-COSMET COMPANY, INC., CENTRAL SCIENTIFIC COMPANY. a division of CENCO INCORPORATED: CENTRAL SCIENTIFIC COMPANY, a division of CENCO INSTRUMENTS CORPORATION.

PREMIER REFRACTORIES, INC.,

f/k/a ADIENCE, INC., f/k/a BMI.

Defendants.	
	,

Plaintiff(s), CHRISTIAN F HOLINKA, by their attorneys WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C., upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned alleges as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff(s), CHRISTIAN F HOLINKA, by their attorneys, WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C., for their verified complaint respectfully alleges:
- 2. Defendant AQUA-CHEM, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 3. Defendant AQUA-CHEM, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should. have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 4. Defendant BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to AMERICAN HOSPITAL SUPPLY CORP. and AMERICAN SCIENTIFIC PRODUCTS, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 5. Defendant BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to AMERICAN HOSPITAL SUPPLY CORP. and AMERICAN SCIENTIFIC PRODUCTS, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business

and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

- 6. Defendant BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC., Individually and as successor in interest to AMERICAN HOSPITAL SUPPLY CORP., and AMERICAN SCIENTIFIC PRODUCTS, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 7. Defendant BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC., Individually and as successor in interest to AMERICAN HOSPITAL SUPPLY CORP., and AMERICAN SCIENTIFIC PRODUCTS, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 8. Defendant BECKMAN COULTER, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 9. Defendant BECKMAN COULTER, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 10. Defendant CORNING GLASS, k/n/a CORNING INCORPORATED, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 11, Defendant CORNING GLASS, k/n/a CORNING INCORPORATED, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 12. Defendant E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS and COMPANY, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.

- 14. Defendant FISHER SCIENTIFIC INTERNATIONAL INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 15. Defendant FISHER SCIENTIFIC INTERNATIONAL INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 16. Defendant LENNOX INDUSTRIES, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 17. Defendant LENNOX INDUSTRIES, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 18. Defendant MANORCARE HEALTH SERVICES, INC., d/b/a MANOR CARE, INC., Individually and as successor to PRECISION-COSMET COMPANY, INC., CENTRAL SCIENTIFIC COMPANY, a division of CENCO INCORPORATED; CENTRAL SCIENTIFIC COMPANY, a division of, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 19. Defendant MANORCARE HEALTH SERVICES, INC., d/b/a MANOR CARE, INC., Individually and as successor to PRECISION-COSMET COMPANY, INC., CENTRAL SCIENTIFIC COMPANY, a division of CENCO INCORPORATED; CENTRAL SCIENTIFIC COMPANY, a division of, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation

doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

- 20. Defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC., Individually and as successor to RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, as successor by merger to CIVESTCO, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- Defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC., 21. Individually and as successor to RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, as successor by merger to CIVESTCO, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 22. Defendant UNIVAR USA INC., Individually and as successor to VAN WATERS & ROGERS INC., BRAUN CHEMICAL COMPANY and WILL SCIENTIFIC, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 23. Defendant UNIVAR USA INC., Individually and as successor to VAN WATERS & ROGERS INC., BRAUN CHEMICAL COMPANY and WILL SCIENTIFIC. INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 24. Defendant VWR INTERNATIONAL, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 25. Defendant VWR INTERNATIONAL, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

Plaintiff(s), CHRISTIAN F HOLINKA, repeats and realleges NYAL - WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. STANDARD ASBESTOS COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURY No.

7 as if fully incorporated herein as it pertains to the defendants in the aforementioned caption.

Dated: September 29, 2006 New York, New York

Yours, etc.,

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 180 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038 (212) 558-5500 STATE OF NEW YORK)

COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

The undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows:

Deponent is an Associate of the firm WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C., Counsel for the plaintiff(s) in the within action; deponent has read the foregoing summons and verified complaint and knows the contents thereof; the same is true to deponent's own knowledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and that as to those matters deponent believes it to be true. This verification is made by deponent and not by plaintiff(s) because plaintiff(s) resides outside of the County of New York where plaintiffs' counsel and deponent maintain their office.

Dated: September 29, 2006 New York, New York

BENJAMIN DARCHE

SUPREME COURT: ALL OF COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

ASBESTOS MASTER INDEX NO.: 40,000/88

Date Filed:

NYAL - WEITZ & LUXENBERG.
P.C.
STANDARD ASBESTOS
COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL
INJURY No. 7

ALL CASES

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC.,

AMERICAN OPTICAL CORP.,

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC.,

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY,

AT&T,

BMCE INC.,

f/k/a UNITED CENTRIFUGAL PUMP,

BURNHAM CORPORATION,

BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICE CORPORATION

f/k/a BORG-WARNER CORPORATION

CATERPILLAR, INC.,

CERTAIN TEED CORPORATION,

CLEAVER BROOKS COMPANY, INC.,

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF

NEW YORK INC.,

COURTER & COMPANY, INC.,

CRANE CO.,

CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC.,

DB RILEY, INC.,

DAIMLERCHRYSLER CORPORATION,

DANA CORPORATION,

DEERE & CO.,

DURABLA MANUFACTURING COMPANY,

EASTERN REFRACTORIES COMPANY, INC.,

EMPIRE ACE INSULATION MFG. CORP.,

ERICSSON, INC.,

as successor in interest to ANACONDA WIRE & CABLE CO.,

The Basis of Venue is Defendants' Place of Business

SUMMONS

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUN 2 9 2004

NOT COMPARED WITH COPY FILED



L-DEFINE-7

FERODO AMERICAN INC.,

f/k/a NUTURN CORP.,

FORD MOTOR COMPANY,

FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

FREIGHTLINER CORPORATION,

FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.,

GARLOCK, INC.,

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION,

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIAL SERVICES, INC.,

GOODYEAR CANADA, INC.,

GOODYEAR TIRE AND RUBBER COMPANY,

GOULD PUMPS, INC.,

H.B. FULLER COMPANY,

HONEYWELL CORP.,

f/k/a ALLIED SIGNAL, INC., BENDIX,

I.U. NORTH AMERICA, INC.,

IBM,

INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY,

INTERNATIONAL TRUCK and

ENGINE CORPORATION,

J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY,

KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY,

KENTILE FLOORS, INC.,

KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC.,

f/k/a LONG ISLAND LIGHTNING COMPANY,

KOHLER CO.,

LIPE-AUTOMATION CORPORATION,

LOCKHEED MARTIN CORP.,

as successor in interest to MARTIN MARIETTA CORP.,

as successor in interest to AMERICAN MARIETTA CORP.,

MACK TRUCKS,

MADER CAPITAL, INC.,

MAREMOUNT CORP.,

MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC.,

MCCORD CORPORATION, individually and as successor in

interest to A.E. Clevite, Inc. and J. P. Industries, Inc.

MINNESOTA MINING &

MANUFACTURING COMPANY,

MORSE-DIESEL CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.,

MOTION CONTROL INDUSTRIES, INC.,

as predecessor in interest to CARLISLE CORPORATION,

NATIONAL SERVICES INDUSTRIES, INC.,

NOSROC CORP.,

O'CONNOR CONSTRUCTORS, INC.,

f/k/a THOMAS O'CONNOR & COMPANY, INC.,

OAKFABCO, INC.,

OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC.,

PACCAR, INC.,

individually and through its division,

PETERBILT MOTORS CO.,

PATTERSON KELLY DIVISION,

PATTERSON PUMP COMPANY,

PEERLESS HEATER CO., INC.,

PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.,

PERKINS ENGINES, INC.,

PFIZER, INC., (PFIZER),

PNEUMO ABEX CORPORATION,

individually and as successor in interest to

ABEX CORPORATION,

PREMIER REFRACTORIES, INC., f/k/a ADIENCE, INC f/k/a BMI

PROKO INDUSTRIES, INC.,

PUERTO RICO SAFETY EQUIPMENT CORPORATION,

n/k/a WORKSAFE INDUSTRIES OF

PUERTO RICO, INC.,

QUIGLEY COMPANY, INC.,

RAPID-AMERICAN CORPORATION,

REYNOLDS METALS COMPANY,

as successor in interest to

ATLANTIC ASBESTOS CORPORATION,

ROBERT A. KEASBEY COMPANY,

SAFEGUARD INDUSTRIAL EQUIPMENT CO., INC.,

SEQUOIA VENTURES, INC.,

f/k/a BECHTEL CORPORATION,

STANDARD MOTOR PRODUCTS,

TDY INDUSTRIES, INC.,

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

TISHMAN LIQUIDATING CORP.,

TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.,

TODD SHIPYARDS CORP.,

TREADWELL CORPORATION,

U. S. RUBBER COMPANY (UNIROYAL),

UNION CARBIDE,

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,

VIACOM INC.,

successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION,

f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION,

WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC.,

WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE COMPANY, a/k/a WABCO, INC., YORK INDUSTRIES CORPORATION,

	Defendants.
	X
To the above named Defendant(s)	

You are hereby summoned to answer the amended verified complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the Plaintiff's Attorney(s) within 20 days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated, New York, New York June 29, 2004

Defendant's address:

SEE ATTACHED DEFENDANTS RIDER

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorney(s) for Plaintiff Post Office Address 180 Maiden Lane New York, New York 10038 (212) 558-5500

DEFENDANTS' RIDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC.

11270 West Park Place Milwaukee, WI 11270

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY

Joseph E. Riley 225 Fallon Road Stoneham, MA 02180

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC.

41 State Street Albany, NY 11207

AMERICAN OPTICAL CORP.

CT Corporation Systems 111 8th Avenue New York, NY 10071

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC

Michele Corcoran, New Filings Manager c/o PACE 1009 Lenox Drive Bldg 4 Suite 101 Lawrenceville, NJ 08648

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY

CT Corporation System 1635 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

AT&T

32 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10013

BMCE INC. f/k/a UNITED CENTRIFUGAL PUMP

L'Abbate Balkin Covalita & Contini, L.L.P. 1050 Franklin Avenue Garden City, NY 11530

BURNHAM CORPORATION

1239 Harrisburg Pike Lancaster, PA 17603

AW OFFICES OF WEITZ & KENBERG, P.C. I MAIDEN LANE YORK, N.Y. 10038

BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICE CORPORATION f/k/a BORG-WARNER CORPORATION

200 S. Michigan Avenue Chicago, IL 60604

CATERPILLAR, INC.

CT Corporation System 111 8th Avenue New York, NY 10011

CERTAIN TEED CORPORATION

CT Corporation System 111 8th Avenue New York, NY 10011

CLEAVER BROOKS COMPANY, INC.

11950 West Park Place Milwaukee, WI 11270

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK INC.

4 Irving Place New York, NY 10003

COURTER & COMPANY, INC.

O'Melveny & Myers LLP c/o Thomas G. Carruthers, Esq. 7 Times Square New York, NY 10022

CRANE CO.

100 First Starnford Place Stamford, CT 06902

CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC.

CT Corporation System 111 8th Avenue New York, NY 10011

LAW OFFICES WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. 180 MAIDEN LANE

DB RILEY, INC.

CT Corporation System 111 8th Avenue New York, NY 10011

DAIMLER CHRYSLER CORPORATION

The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 53201

DANA CORPORATION

Martin J. Strobel 4500 Dorr Street Toledo, OH 43615

DEERE & CO.

CT Corporation System 111 8th Avenue New York, NY 10011

DURABLA MANUFACTURING COMPANY

Clemente, Mueller & Tobia, P.A. 218 Ridgdale Avenue P.O. Box 1296 Morristown, NJ 07962

EASTERN REFRACTORIES COMPANY, INC.

McMahon, Martine & Gallagher 90 Broad Street 14th Floor New York, NY 10004

EMPIRE-ACE INSULATION MFG. CORP.

C/o Secretary of State 41 State Street Albany, NY 12207

ERICSSON, INC.

6300 Tennyson Parkway Plano, TX 75023

LAW OFFICES & LUXENBERG, P.C. 180 MAIDEN LANE IF " YORK, N.Y. 10038

FERODO AMERICAN INC. f/k/a NUTURN CORP.

CT Corporation Systems 818 West Seventh Street Los Angeles, CA 90017

FORD MOTOR COMPANY

CT Corporation System 1118th Avenue New York, NY 10011

FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION

Route 173 at Frontage Road Clinton, New Jersey 08809

FREIGHTLINER CORPORATION

CT Corporation System 1118th Avenue New York, NY 10011

FULTON BOILER WORKS

3891 Port Street Pulaski, NY 13142

GARLOCK, INC.

CT Corporation System 1118th Avenue New York, NY 10011

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

Electric Insurance Company 75 Sam Fonzo Drive Beverly, MA 01915

GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION

CT Corporation System 111 8th Avenue New York, NY 10011

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIAL SERVICES, INC.

92 Cooper Street Tonawanda, NY 11450

LAW OFFICES OF WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 180 MAIDEN LANE --- YORK, N.Y. 10038

GOODYEAR CANADA, INC.

450 Kipling Avenue Atobicoke, Ontario CANADA M8ZSE1

GOODYEAR TIRE AND RUBBER COMPANY

CT Corporation System 1118th Avenue New York, NY 10011

GOULD PUMPS, INC.

240 Fall St. Seneca Falls, NY 13148

H.B. FULLER COMPANY

Stephen M. Fowler, Esq. Pullin, Knoff, Fowler & Flanagan 1000 Bank One Center 707 Virginia Street Charleston, WV 25301

HONEYWELL CORP.

f/k/a ALLIED SIGNAL,INC.,/BENDIX

101 Columbia Road and Park Avenue Morristown, NJ 07962

I.U. NORTH AMERICA, INC.

CT Corp System 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19806-4206

\mathbf{IBM}

New Orchard Road Armonk, NY 10504

INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY

CT Corporation System 111 8th Avenue New York, NY 10011

INTERNATIONAL TRUCK and ENGINE CORP.

CT Corporation System 111 8th Avenue New York, NY 10011

LAW OFFICES OF WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. 180 MAIDEN LANE 1' YORK, N.Y. 10038

J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY

Special CLaims Services, Inc. 809 Coshocton Avenue Suite 1 Mount Vernon, OH 43050-1931

KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

Wayne A. Marvel, Esq. Maron & Marvel 1300 North Broom Street Wilmington, DE 19806-4206

KENTILE FLOORS, INC.

c/o Mr. Sandy W. Gropper Insurance Claims Administrator 31 east 28th Street, 8th Floor New York, NY 10016

KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC. f/k/a LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

Cynthia R. Clark, Esq. 175 East Old Country Road Hicksville, NY 11801

KOHLER CO.

Hoa Gland, Longo, Moran, Dunst & Doukas, LLP Marc S. Gaffrey, Esq. 40 Patterson Street New Brunswick, NJ 08983

LIPE-AUTOMATION CORPORATION

CT Corporation System 1118th Avenue New York, NY 10011

LOCKHEED MARTIN CORP.

6801 Rockledge Drive Bethesda, MD 20817-1877

MACK TRUCKS, INC.

CT Corporation System 111 8th Avenue New York, NY 10011

LAW OFFICES WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. 180 MAIDEN LANE F"YORK, N.Y. 10038

MADER CAPITAL, INC.

2730 Transit Road West Seneca, NY 14224

MAREMOUNT CORPORATION

CT Corporation Systems 208 South Lasalle Street Suite 814 Chicago, IL 60604-1136

MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO. INC.

Rosa Lee, Esq. 177 Montague Street Brooklyn, NY 11201

McCORD CORPORATION, individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES, INC.

The Corporation Company 30600 Telegraph Bingham Farms, MI 48025

MINNESOTA MINING & MANUFACTURING COMPANY

CT Corporation System 1118th Avenue New York, NY 10011

MORSE-DIESEL CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.

150 Broadway Suite 600 New York, NY 10038

MOTION CONTROL INDUSTRIES, INC., as predecessor in interest to CARLISLE CORPORATION 1031 East Hillside Drive

Bloomington, IN 47401-6597

NATIONAL SERVICES INDUSTRIES, INC.

The Corporation Attention General Counsel 1420 Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30309

LAW OFFICES WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. 180 MAIDEN LANE EW YORK, N.Y. 10038

NOSROC CORP.

c/o CT Corporation System 1315 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

O'CONNOR CONTRACTORS, INC. f/k/a THOMAS O'CONNOR & COMPANY, INC.

C/o The Secretary of State 41 State Street Albany, NY 12207

OAKFABCO, INC.

705 Mc Knight Park Drive Pittsburgh, PA 15237-6536

OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC.

One Seagate Toledo, OH 43666

PACCAR, INC., individually and through its division, PETERBILT MOTORS CO.

880 Brazos Street Austin, TX 75201

PATTERSON-KELLEY DIVISION

Robert B. Lawler, Esq. Wilbraham Lawler & Buba 1818 market Street, Suite 3100 Philadelphia, PA 19103-3631

PATTERSON PUMP COMPANY

9201 Ayersville Road Toccoa, GA 30577-9033

PEERLESS HEATER CO. INC.

A Pennsylvania Corporation c/o Robert C. Fish Spring Schaffer Street Boyertown, PA 19512

LAW OFFICES WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. 180 MAIDEN LANE IF" YORK, N.Y. 10038

PEERLESS INDUSTRIES INC.

Anna L. DiLonardo, Esq. · L'Abbate, Balkan, COvalita & Contini, LLP 1050 Franklin Avenue Garden City, NY 11530

PERKINS ENGINES, INC.

c/o CT Corporatin System 1201 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, GA 30361

PFIZER, INC. (PFIZER)

CT Corporation System 111 8th Avenue New York, NY 10011

PNEUMO ABEX CORPORATION, individually and as successor in interest to ABEX CORPORATION

80 State State Albany, NY

PREMIER REFRACTORIES, INC. f/k/a adience, inc f/k/a BMI

Special Claims Services, Inc. 809 Coshocton Avenue, Suite 1 Attn: Donald E. Ward, President Mt. Vernon, OH 43050

PROKO INDUSTRIES INC.

501 S. Foote St. Cambridge City, IN 47327-1642

PUERTO RICO SAFETY EQUIPMENT CORPORATION

C/o The Secretary of State 41 State Street Albany, NY 12207

QUIGLEY COMPANY, INC.

CT Corporation System 111 8th Avenue New York, NY 10011

LAW OFFICES WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. 180 MAIDEN LANE F"YORK, N.Y. 10038

RAPID-AMERICAN CORPORATION

2711 Centerville Road Wilmington, DE 19808

REYNOLD METAL COMPANY

Lori Elliot Guzman, Esq. Hutton & Williams Riverfront Plaza, East Tower 951 East Byrd Street Richmond, VA 23219

ROBERT A. KEASBEY COMPANY

L'Abbate Balkin Covalita & Contini, L.L.P. Anna Di Lonardo 1050 Franklin Avenue Garden City, NY 11530

SAFEGUARD INDUSTRIAL EQUIPMENT COMPANY

27 Washington Avenue Belleville, NJ 07109

SEQUOIA VENTURES, INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORPORATION

c/o Dan Moretti, Esq. 120 Boadway, 27th Floor New York, NY 12207

STANDARD MOTOR PRODUCTS

37-18 Northern Blvd. Long Island City, NY 11101

TDY INDUSTRIES, INC.

C/o The Secretary of State 41 State Street Albany, NY 12207

THE OKONITE COMPANY

Hilltop Road Ramsey, NJ 07446

TISHMAN LIQUIDATING CORP.

Lee A. Rosen 666 5th Avenue New York, NY 10103

LAW OFFICES WEUZ & JXENBERG, P.C. 10 MAIDEN LANE 1 YORK, N.Y. 10038

TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.

c/o Tishman SPeyer Properties

Attn: Andrew J. Nathan 520 Madison Avenue

New York, NY 10022

TREADWELL CORPORATION

Paul R. Koepff, Esq. Thomas G. Carruthers, Esq. OMELVENY & MEYERS, LLP Citicorp Center 153 East 53rd Street New York, NY 10022

U.S. RUBBER (UNIROYAL)

c/o GREENFIELD, STEIN & SENIOR

600 Third Avenue - 11th Floor New York, NY 10016-1903

UNION CARBIDE

CT Corporation System 111 8th Avenue New York, NY 10011

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION

2100 Norman Drive West Waukegan, IL 60085

VIACOM INC.

Asbestos Litigation Support Manager ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC Case Management & Technology Center USX Towers 600 Grant Street Philadelphia, PA 15219

WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC.

500 Blaine Street Michigan City, IN 46360

WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE COMPANY a/k/a WABCO, INC.

101 Airbrake Avenue Wilmerding, PA 15148

LAW OFFICES WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. 180 MAIDEN LANE 15" YORK, N.Y. 10038

YORK INDUSTRIES CORPORATION

c/o John Ronca, Jr., Esq.
RONCA, MCDONALD & HANLEY
5 Regent Street, Suite 51
Livingston, NJ 07039

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
&
UXENBERG, P.C.
BO MAIDEN LANE
"ORK, N.Y. 10038

12

SUPREME COURT: ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

ASBESTOS MASTER INDEX NO.: 40,000/88

IN RE NEW YORK CITY, ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Date Filed:

This Document Relates To:

NYAL-WEITZ& LUXENBERG, P.C. STANDARD ASBESTOS COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL

INJURY No. 7

ALL CASES

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., AMERICAN OPTICAL CORP.,

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC.,

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY,

AT&T.

BMCE INC.,

f/k/a UNITED CENTRIFUGAL PUMP,

BURNHAM CORPORATION,

BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICE CORPORATION

f/k/a BORG-WARNER CORPORATION

CATERPILLAR, INC.,

CERTAIN TEED CORPORATION,

CLEAVER BROOKS COMPANY, INC.,

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF

NEW YORK INC.,

COURTER & COMPANY, INC.,

CRANE CO.,

CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC.,

DB RILEY, INC.,

DAIMLERCHRYSLER CORPORATION,

DANA CORPORATION,

DEERE & CO.,

DURABLA MANUFACTURING COMPANY,

EASTERN REFRACTORIES COMPANY, INC.,

EMPIRE ACE INSULATION MFG. CORP.,

ERICSSON, INC.,

as successor in interest to

ANACONDA WIRE & CABLE CO.,

FERODO AMERICAN INC.,

f/k/a NUTURN CORP.,

LAW OFFICES WEITZ UXENBERG, P.C. 80 MAIDEN LANE W YORK, N.Y. 10038

1

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FORD MOTOR COMPANY, FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION, FREIGHTLINER CORPORATION, FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., GARLOCK, INC., GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION, GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIAL SERVICES, INC., GOODYEAR CANADA, INC., GOODYEAR TIRE AND RUBBER COMPANY, GOULD PUMPS, INC., H.B. FULLER COMPANY, HONEYWELL CORP., f/k/a ALLIED SIGNAL, INC., BENDIX, I.U. NORTH AMERICA, INC., IBM, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, INTERNATIONAL TRUCK and ENGINE CORPORATION, J.H. FRANCÈ REFRACTORIES COMPANY, KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, KENTILE FLOORS, INC., KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC., f/k/a LONG ISLAND LIGHTNING COMPANY, KOHLER CO., LIPE-AUTOMATION CORPORATION, LOCKHEED MARTIN CORP., as successor in interest to MARTIN MARIETTA CORP., as successor in interest to AMERICAN MARIETTA CORP., MACK TRUCKS, MADER CAPITAL, INC., MAREMOUNT CORP., MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., MCCORD CORPORATION, individually and as successor in interest to A.E. Clevite, Inc. and J. P. Industries, Inc. MINNESOTA MINING & MANUFACTURING COMPANY, MORSE-DIESEL CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., MOTION CONTROL INDUSTRIES, INC., as predecessor in interest to CARLISLE CORPORATION, NATIONAL SERVICES INDUSTRIES, INC., NOSROC CORP.,

O'CONNOR CONSTRUCTORS, INC.,

OAKFABCO, INC.,

f/k/a THOMAS O'CONNOR & COMPANY, INC.,

LAW OFFICES WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. 180 MAIDEN LANE OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC.,

PACCAR, INC.,

individually and through its division,

PETERBILT MOTORS CO.,

PATTERSON KELLY DIVISION,

PATTERSON PUMP COMPANY,

PEERLESS HEATER CO., INC.,

PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.,

PERKINS ENGINES, INC.,

PFIZER, INC., (PFIZER),

PNEUMO ABEX CORPORATION,

individually and as successor in interest to

ABEX CORPORATION,

PREMIER REFRACTORIES, INC., f/k/a ADIENCE, INC f/k/a BMI

PROKO INDUSTRIES, INC.,

PUERTO RICO SAFETY EQUIPMENT CORPORATION,

n/k/a WORKSAFE INDUSTRIES OF

PUERTO RICO, INC.,

QUIGLEY COMPANY, INC.,

RAPID-AMERICAN CORPORATION,

REYNOLDS METALS COMPANY,

as successor in interest to

ATLANTIC ASBESTOS CORPORATION,

ROBERT A. KEASBEY COMPANY,

SAFEGUARD INDUSTRIAL EQUIPMENT CO., INC.,

SEQUOIA VENTURES, INC.,

f/k/a BECHTEL CORPORATION,

STANDARD MOTOR PRODUCTS,

TDY INDUSTRIES, INC.,

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

TISHMAN LIQUIDATING CORP.,

TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.,

TODD SHIPYARDS CORP.,

TREADWELL CORPORATION,

U. S. RUBBER COMPANY (UNIROYAL),

UNION CARBIDE,

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,

VIACOM INC.,

successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION,

f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION,

WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC.,

WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE COMPANY,

a/k/a WABCO, INC.,

YORK INDUSTRIES CORPORATION,

LAW OFFICES OF WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. 180 MAIDEN LANE E''' YORK, N.Y. 10038

Defendants.

-X

Plaintiff(s), by his/her attorneys, upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned, allege as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff(s) is at all times herein mentioned a resident of the state alleged in the individual complaint.
- 2. The term "Defendants" shall apply to all named business and/or corporate entities and/or such company's predecessors and/or successors in interest more fully described below.
- 3. The Defendants named herein have done business in this State and/or have conducted and/or transacted business in this state, have committed one or more tortious acts within this State and/or have otherwise performed acts within and/or without this State giving rise to injuries and losses within this State, which acts subject each Defendant to the jurisdiction of the Courts of this State.
- 4. Defendant A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 5. Defendant A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 6. Defendant A.W CHESTERTON COMPANY, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
 - 7. Defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, was and still is a duly

LAW OFFICES
OF
. WEITZ
&
LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 MAIDEN LANE
EWYORK, N.Y. 10038

Case 1:07-cv-08019-SHS

organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

- Defendant AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., was and still is a duly 8. organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- Defendant AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., was and still is a duly 9. organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- Defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORP., was and still is a duly 10. organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- Defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORP., was and still is a duly 11. organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- Defendant AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., was and still is a duly 12. organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- Defendant ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, was and still is a duly 13. organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- Defendant AT&T, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation 14. doing business in the State of New York.
- Defendant BMCE INC. f/k/a UNITED CENTRIFUGAL PUMP, was and 15. still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
 - Defendant BMCE INC. f/k/a UNITED CENTRIFUGAL PUMP, was and 16.

LAW OFFICES WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. 180 MAIDEN LANE 'ORK, N.Y. 10038 still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the . State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

- 17. Defendant BURNHAM CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 18. Defendant BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICE CORPORATION f/k/a BORG-WARNER CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 19. Defendant CATERPILLAR, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 20. Defendant CATERPILLAR, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 21. Defendant CERTAIN TEED CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 22. Defendant CERTAIN TEED CORPORATION., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 23. Defendant CLEAVER BROOKS COMPANY, INC. was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New

LAW OFFICES

OF

WEITZ

LUXENBERG, P.C.

180 MAIDEN LANE

"ORK, N.Y. 10038

York.

- Defendant.CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK 24. INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- Defendant COURTER & COMPANY, INC., was and still is a duly 25. organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- Defendant CRANE CO., was and still is a duly organized domestic 26. corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- Defendant CRANE CO., was and still is a duly organized foreign 27. corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- Defendant CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC., was and still is a 28. duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- Defendant CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC., was and still is a 29. duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- Defendant DB RILEY, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic 30. corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- Defendant DB RILEY, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign 31. corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
 - Defendant DAIMLERCHRYSLER CORPORATION, was and still is 32.

AW OFFICES WEITZ XENBERG P.C. MAIDEN LANE ''ORK, N.Y. 10038 a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.

- 33. Defendant DAIMLERCHRYSLER CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York
- 34. Defendant DANA CORPORATION, individually and as successor to Smith & Kanzler, Inc. and Victor Gasket Company, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 35. Defendant DANA CORPORATION, individually and as successor to Smith & Kanzler, Inc. and Victor Gasket Company, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 36. Defendant DEERE & CO., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 37. Defendant DEERE & CO., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 38. Defendant DURABLA MANUFACTURING COMPANY, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 39. Defendant DURABLA MANUFACTURING COMPANY, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
 - 40. Defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES COMPANY, INC., was and

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
&
UXENBERG, P.C.
BO MAIDEN LANE
"ORK, N.Y. 10038

still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

- 41. Defendant EMPIRE ACE INSULATION MFG. CORP., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 42. Defendant ERICSSON, INC. as successor in interest to ANACONDA WIRE & WIRE CABLE CO., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 43. Defendant ERICSSON, INC. as successor in interest to ANACONDA WIRE & CABLE CO., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 44. Defendant FERODO AMERICAN, INC., f/k/a NUTURN CORP., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 45. Defendant FERODO AMERICAN, INC., f/k/a NUTURN CORP., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 46. Defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 47. Defendant FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of

LAW OFFICES

OF

WEITZ

&

LUXENBERG, P.C.

180 MAIDEN LANE

YORK, N.Y. 10038

New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

- 48. Defendant FREIGHTLINER CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 49. Defendant FREIGHTLINER CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 50. Defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 51. Defendant GARLOCK, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 52. Defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 53. Defendant GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 54. Defendant GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIAL SERVICES, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 55. Defendant GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIAL SERVICES, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
&
LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 MAIDEN LANE
150 MAI

- 56. Defendant GOODYEAR CANADA, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 57. Defendant GOODYEAR TIRE AND RUBBER COMPANY, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 58. Defendant GOULD PUMPS, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 59. Defendant GOULD PUMPS, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 60. Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 61. Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 62. Defendant HONEYWELL CORP., f/k/a ALLIED SIGNAL, INC.,/
 BENDIX, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of
 New York.
- Defendant HONEYWELL CORP., f/k/a ALLIED SIGNAL, INC.,/
 BENDIX, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or
 transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
&
JXENBERG, P.C.
IO MAIDEN LANE
YORK, N.Y. 10038

consequences within the State of New York.

- 64. Defendant I.U. NORTH AMERICA, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 66. Defendant IBM, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 67. Defendant IBM, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 68. Defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 69. Defendant INTERNATIONAL TRUCK and ENGINE CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 70. Defendant INTERNATIONAL TRUCK and ENGINE CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 71. Defendant J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
 - 72. Defendant J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY, was and still

 is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

- 73. Defendant KEELER-DOOR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 74. Defendant KENTILE FLOORS, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 75. Defendant KENTILE FLOORS, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 76. Defendant KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 77. Defendant KOHLER CO., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 78. Defendant KOHLER CO., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 79. Defendant LIPE-AUTOMATION, CORP., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
 - 80. Defendant LIPE-AUTOMATION, CORP., was and still is a duly

LAW OFFICES

OF

WEITZ

&

LUXENBERG, P.C.

180 MAIDEN LANE

ORK, N.Y. 10038

organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

- 81. Defendant LOCKHEED MARTIN CORP., as successor in interest to MARTIN MARIETTA CORP., as successor in interest to AMERICAN MARIETTA CORP., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- MARTIN MARIETTA CORP., as successor in interest to AMERICAN MARIETTA CORP., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 83. Defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 84. Defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 86. Defendant MADER CAPITAL., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 87. Defendant MAREMOUNT CORP., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
 - 88. Defendant MAREMOUNT CORP., was and still is a duly organized

 foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

- 89. Defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York
- 90. Defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 91. Defendant MCCORD CORPORATION, individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P.INDUSTRIES, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 92. Defendant MCCORD CORPORATION, individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 93. Defendant MINNESOTA MINING & MANUFACTURING COMPANY, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 94. Defendant MORSE-DIESEL CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 95. Defendant MORSE-DIESEL CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State

LAW OFFICES

OF

WEITZ

&

LUXENBERG, P.C.

180 MAIDEN LANE

YORK, N.Y. 10038

of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

- 96. Defendant MOTION CONTROL INDUSTRIES, INC. as predecessor in interest to CARLISLE CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 97. Defendant MOTION CONTROL INDUSTRIES, INC. as predecessor in interest to CARLISLE CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 98. Defendant NATIONAL SERVICES INDUSTRIES, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- posendant NATIONAL SERVICES INDUSTRIES, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 100. Defendant NOSROC CORP., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 101. Defendant NOSROC CORP., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 102. Defendant O'CONNOR CONSTRUCTORS, INC., f/k/a THOMAS O'CONNOR & COMPANY, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.

LAW OFFICES

OF

WEITZ

&

LUXENBERG, P.C.

180 MAIDEN LANE

F. YORK, N.Y. 10038

- 103. Defendant O'CONNOR CONSTRUCTORS, INC., f/k/a THOMAS O'CONNOR & COMPANY, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 104. Defendant OAKFABCO, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 105. Defendant OAKFABCO, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 106. Defendant OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 107. Defendant PACCAR, INC., individually and through its division, PETERBILT MOTORS CO., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 108. Defendant PACCAR, INC., individually and through its division, PETERBILT MOTORS CO., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 109. Defendant PATTERSON KELLY DIVISION, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 110. Defendant PATTERSON KELLY DIVISION, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
&
LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 MAIDEN LANE
P. YORK, N.Y. 10038

York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

- Defendant PATTERSON PUMP COMPANY, was and still is a duly 111. organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- Defendant PATTERSON PUMP COMPANY, was and still is a duly 112. organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- Defendant PEERLESS HEATER CO. INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- Defendant PEERLESS HEATER CO. INC., was and still is a duly 114. organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- Defendant PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC, was and still is a duly 115. organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- Defendant PEERLESS INDUSTRIES INC., was and still is a duly 116. organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- Defendant PERKINS ENGINES, INC., was and still is a duly organized 117. domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- Defendant PERKINS ENGINES, INC., was and still is a duly organized 118. foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- Defendant PFIZER, INC. (PFIZER), was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.

LAW OFFICES OF WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. 180 MAIDEN LANE NE : YORK, N.Y. 10038

- 120. Defendant PFIZER, INC. (PFIZER), was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and transacting business in the State of New York, and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
 - 121. Defendant PNEUMO ABEX CORPORATION, individually and as successor in interest to ABEX CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
 - 122. Defendant PNEUMO ABEX CORPORATION, individually and as successor in interest to ABEX CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
 - 123. Defendant PREMIER REFRACTORIES, INC., f/k/a ADIENCE, INC f/k/a BMI was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
 - 124. Defendant PREMIER REFRACTORIES, INC., f/k/a ADIENCE, INC f/k/a BMI was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
 - 125. Defendant PROKO INDUSTRIES INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
 - 126. Defendant PROKO INDUSTRIES INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
 - 127. Defendant PUERTO RICO SAFETY EQUIPMENT CORPORATION

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
&
LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 MAIDEN LANE
P. 'ORK, N.Y. 10038

n/k/a WORKSAFE INDUSTRIES OF PUERTO RICO, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

- 128. Defendant QUIGLEY COMPANY, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- to Philip Carey Manufacturing Company, Philip Carey Corporation, Briggs Manufacturing Company and/or Panacon Corporation was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business in the State of New York and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 130. Defendant REYNOLDS METALS COMPANY, as successor in interest to ATLANTIC ASBESTOS CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 131. Defendant REYNOLDS METALS COMPANY, as successor in interest to ATLANTIC ASBESTOS CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 132. Defendant ROBERT A. KEASBEY COMPANY, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 133. Defendant SAFEGUARD INDUSTRIAL EQUIPMENT COMPANY INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

LAW OFFICES

OF

WEITZ

&

LUXENBERG, P.C.

180 MAIDEN LANE

'YORK, N.Y. 10038

- 134. Defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES, INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 135. Defendant STANDARD MOTOR PRODUCTS, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 136. Defendant STANDARD MOTOR PRODUCTS, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 137. Defendant TDY INDUSTRIES, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 138. Defendant TDY INDUSTRIES, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 139. Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 140. Defendant TISHMAN LIQUIDATING CORP., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 141. Defendant TISHMAN LIQUIDATING CORP., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 142. Defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.

OF
OF
WEITZ

LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 MAIDEN LANE
N° ''ORK, N.Y. 10038

- 143. Defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 144. Defendant TODD SHIPYARDS CORP., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 145. Defendant TODD SHIPYARDS CORP., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 146. Defendant TREADWELL CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 147. Defendant U.S. RUBBER COMPANY (UNIROYAL), was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 148. Defendant U.S. RUBBER COMPANY (UNIROYAL), was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 149. Defendant UNION CARBIDE, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 150. Defendant UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the State of New York.
 - 151. Defendant UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, was and still is a

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
L
LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 MAIDEN LANE
'- 'YORK, N.Y. 10038

duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

- 152. Defendant VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the state of New York.
- CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 154. Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 155. Defendant WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE COMPANY, a/k/a WABCO, INC., was and still is a duly organized domestic corporation doing business in the state of New York.
- 156. Defendant WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE COMPANY, a/k/a WABCO, INC., was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.
- 157. Defendant YORK INDUSTRIES CORPORATION, was and still is a duly organized foreign corporation doing business and/or transacting business in the State of

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
&
UXENBERG, P.C.
80 MAIDEN LANE
ORK, N.Y. 10038

New York and/or should have expected its acts to have consequences within the State of New York.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS, EXCEPT PARAGRAPHS 169,170 and 172 WHICH ARE NOT ALLEGED FOR THOSE ASBESTOS EXPOSURES WHICH ARE ALLEGED TO HAVE OCCURRED ABOARD ANY MILITARY VESSEL OR VEHICLE, ON OR AT ANY SHIPYARD OR ON OR AT ANY GOVERNMENTAL FACILITY OR LOCATION

- 158. Plaintiff(s) repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained herein above in paragraphs "1" through "157 inclusive with the same force and effect as if hereinafter set forth at length.
- and asbestos-containing products and materials mined, manufactured, processed, imported, converted, compounded, installed, or sold by the defendants. During the course of his employment, plaintiff was exposed to the defendants' asbestos and asbestos containing materials to which exposure directly and proximately caused him to develop an asbestos related disease.
- 160. Upon information and belief, the defendants mined, processed, manufactured, designed, fabricated, fashioned, packaged, distributed, sold and/or delivered various asbestos-containing products and materials and/or asbestos containing equipment to which plaintiff was exposed during the period of time he was employed.
- 161. At all times pertinent hereto the defendants acted through their duly authorized agents, servants, and employees, who were then and there acting in the course of and scope of their employment and in furtherance of the business of said defendants.
- 162. During the scope and course of plaintiff's employment he was necessarily and unavoidably exposed to and did inhale and ingest dust and/or asbestos fibers emanating

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ

LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 MAIDEN LANE
IF. "ORK, N.Y. 10038

from the asbestos and asbestos-containing products and/or equipment of the defendants.

- 163. As a proximate result of the exposure to the asbestos and asbestos containing products and/or equipment of these defendants, and the unavoidable and necessary inhalation of said asbestos, plaintiff developed an asbestos related disease.
- 164. At all relevant times, the defendants knew or should have known that the asbestos and asbestos-containing products and materials which they were providing were inherently dangerous beyond the expectations of the ordinary user or handler who would come into contact with these products.
- 165. The defendants negligently failed to provide any or adequate and proper warnings as to the dangers of the use of said products and materials to those persons using, handling, or coming into contact therewith.
- instructions of any potentially safer handling methods which should have been utilized by users, handlers, or other persons who were reasonably and foreseeably known to come into contact with the asbestos-containing products and/or equipment and materials.
- 167. The defendants negligently failed to investigate and/or test for the hazards of asbestos products and materials.
- of said materials, the defendants negligently failed to convey whatever knowledge of dangers, health hazards, or safety precautions they may have had to the users and consumers of their asbestos-containing products.
- 169. The defendants negligently failed to develop, make available and/or provide nonhazardous substitutes which could have been used for the same purpose as their

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 MAIDEN LANE
YORK, N.Y. 10038

Filed 09/12/2007

asbestos-containing products and/or equipment.

- The defendants negligently failed to design asbestos-containing products 170. and/or equipment in such a fashion as to prohibit or minimize the release of airborne, inhalable and ingestible asbestos dust and/or fibers.
- As a direct result of working with or near the asbestos materials supplied 171. by the defendants with the consequent unavoidable and necessary inhalation and ingestion of said asbestos fibers, plaintiff developed an asbestos related disease and as a result has been disabled. Plaintiff has suffered and endured great pain and mental anguish and suffered a loss of enjoyment of his life.
- The asbestos related disease of the plaintiff was proximately caused by 172. the defendants' negligent actions in that, inter alia, they negligently designed, processed, manufactured, packaged, distributed, delivered and/or installed the asbestos-containing products to which the plaintiff was exposed, all of which evidenced a callous, reckless, wanton, oppressive, malicious, willful, depraved indifference to the health, safety and welfare of the rights of others and more particularly the rights of the plaintiff, all of which defendants had due and timely notice.
 - Defendants negligently failed to render warnings, advise, give 173. instructions and/or information to plaintiff so that he may have made an adequate and informed judgment as to the use of said products and were otherwise negligent.
 - The defendants individually and as a group since the early 1900's have possessed medical and scientific data which clearly indicates that their asbestos-containing products are hazardous to health; and prompted by pecuniary motives, the defendants individually and collectively ignored and failed to act upon said medical and scientific data and

LAW OFFICES WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. 180 MAIDEN LANE YORK, N.Y. 10038 conspired to deprive the public and particularly the users including plaintiff of said medical and scientific data and therefore deprived the public at large and the plaintiff in particular, of the opportunity of free choice as to whether or not to expose himself to the asbestos and asbestos containing products of said defendants; and further willfully, intentionally and wantonly failed to warn plaintiff of the serious bodily harm which would result from the inhalation of their asbestos fibers and the dust from their asbestos products.

- 175. The defendants utter failure to use reasonable care under all the circumstances is the proximate cause of plaintiff's asbestos related disease.
 - 176. As a result of the foregoing plaintiff was seriously injured.
- 177. By reason of the foregoing, said plaintiff(s) has been damaged as against each defendant in the sum of TEN MILLION DOLLARS (\$10,000,000.00) in compensatory damages and TEN MILLION DOLLARS (\$10,000,000.00) in punitive damages.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS, EXCEPT FOR THOSE ASBESTOS EXPOSURES WHICH ARE ALLEGED TO HAVE OCCURRED ABOARD ANY MILITARY VESSEL OR VEHICLE, ON OR AT ANY SHIPYARD OR ON OR AT ANY GOVERNMENTAL FACILITY OR LOCATION

- 178. Plaintiff(s) repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "1" through "177", inclusive with the same force and effect as if hereinafter set forth at length.
- 179. The defendants expressly and impliedly warranted that said asbestos and asbestos-containing materials were of good and merchantable quality and fit for intended use.
- 180. The implied/express warranties made by the defendants that their asbestos and asbestos-containing materials were of good and merchantable quality and fit for

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ.
&
LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 MAIDEN LANE
'ORK, N.Y. 10038

their particular use were breached in that certain harmful, poisonous and deleterious matter was given off into the atmosphere where plaintiff carried out his duties working with and around asbestos and asbestos-containing materials.

- As a direct and/or proximate cause of the breach of the implied/express 181. warranties of good and merchantable quality and fitness for the particular use, plaintiff developed an asbestos related disease and was caused to endure great pain and suffering.
 - Plaintiff was seriously injured.
- By reason of the foregoing, plaintiff(s) has been damaged as against each 183. defendant in the sum of TENMILLION DOLLARS (\$10,000,000.00) in compensatory damages and TEN MILLION DOLLARS (\$10,000,000.00) in punitive damages.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS, EXCEPT NO CLAIMS ALLEGING A MANUFACTURE OR DESIGN DEFECT, OTHER THAN FAILURE ASBESTOS EXPOSURES WHICH ARE ALLEGED TO HAVE OCCURRED ABOARD ANY MILITARY VESSEL OR VEHICLE, ON OR AT ANY SHIPYARD OR ON OR AT ANY GOVERNMENTAL FACILITY OR LOCATION

- Plaintiff(s) repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "1" through "183", inclusive with the same force and effect as if hereinafter set forth at length.
- At all relevant times, defendants, as part of their business, manufactured, designed, supplied, developed, fashioned, packaged, distributed, delivered, installed, sold, and/or otherwise placed asbestos and asbestos products and/or equipment and materials into the stream of commerce in a defective, unsafe and inherently dangerous condition and the products and materials were expected to and did reach users, handlers and persons coming into contact

LAW OFFICES WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. MAIDEN LANE YORK, N.Y. 10038 with the said products and materials without substantial change in the condition in which they were sold.

- 186. The asbestos-containing products and/or equipment sold by the defendants did not contain a warning and/or information concerning the dangers to persons using, handling or coming into contact therewith.
- 187. The asbestos-containing products and/or equipment sold by the defendants did not contain adequate and/or correct warnings and instructions of safety precautions to be observed by users, handlers, and persons who would reasonably and foreseeably come into contact with said products and/or equipment.
- 188. That at all times herein, the products and/or equipment being used herein were being employed for the purposes and in the manner normally intended and the defects of the said products were not discoverable by the plaintiff by the exercise of reasonable care, nor were the dangers of said products perceivable on the part of the plaintiff and the plaintiff would not have otherwise averted his injury by the exercise of reasonable care.
- 189. Said asbestos and asbestos-containing materials were defective and dangerous at the time they were sold as the products and/or equipment contained a latent defect and were harmful, poisonous and deleterious when introduced into the atmosphere where the plaintiff carried on his work duties.
- 190. The defendants selling their asbestos and asbestos-containing materials in a defective and dangerous condition to the users thereof, such as the plaintiff, are strictly liable to the plaintiff for any illness resulting from said defective products.
- 191. As a direct and proximate result of the sale by the defendants to plaintiff's employers, and/or other contractors, of said defective and unreasonably dangerous products

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
A
LUXENBERG, P.C.
ORK, N.Y. 10038

and/or equipment the plaintiff sustained serious and permanent injuries and suffered a loss of enjoyment of his life.

- 192. Plaintiff was seriously injured.
- 193. That by reason of the foregoing, plaintiff(s) has been damaged as against each defendant in the sum of TEN MILLION DOLLARS (\$10,000,000.00) in compensatory damages and TEN MILLION DOLLARS (\$10,000,000.00) in punitive damages.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL OTHER DEFENDANTS, EXCEPT NO CLAIMS ALLEGING A MANUFACTURE OR DESIGN DEFECT, OTHER THAN FAILURE TO WARN, ARE MADE FOR ASBESTOS EXPOSURES WHICH ARE ALLEGED TO HAVE OCCURRED ABOARD ANY MILITARY VESSEL OR VEHICLE, ON OR AT ANY SHIPYARD OR ON OR AT ANY GOVERNMENTAL FACILITY OR LOCATION

- 194. Plaintiff(s) repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "1" through "193", inclusive with the same force and effect as if hereinafter set forth at length.
- 195. Defendants, collectively and individually manufactured, designed, selected, assembled, inspected, tested, maintained for sale, marketed, distributed, installed, sold, supplied, delivered and promoted asbestos and asbestos-containing products which were generically similar and fungible in nature; and placed such products into the stream of interstate commerce.
- 196. Plaintiff, through no fault of his own, may not be able to identify all the asbestos-containing products or their manufacturers, marketers, sellers, distributors, or promoters due to the generic similarity and fungible nature of such products as produced by these defendants.
 - 197. As a direct and proximate result of the defendants' activities plaintiff was

LAW OFFICES

OF

WEITZ

&
LUXENBERG, P.C.

190 MAIDEN LANE
YORK, N.Y. 10038

exposed to asbestos-containing products and sustained injuries and damage as described above.

- By reason of the abovementioned, defendants are jointly and severally 198. liable to the plaintiff for the injuries and damages sustained by him as described above by virtue of industry-wide or enterprise liability.
- In the alternative, defendants herein are jointly and severally liable to 199. plaintiff as they represent a substantial share of the asbestos-containing product market within the area in which plaintiff was employed.
- 200. Defendants manufactured, designed, selected, assembled, marketed, distributed, sold, supplied, delivered and promoted asbestos-containing products of the kind and nature to which plaintiff was exposed during the period of his employment.
- 201. Independent of the above, defendants are also jointly and severally liable to plaintiff, as the limitations of liability articulated in New York CPLR section 1601 do not apply to the plaintiff's cause of action by operation of the exceptions set forth in New York CPLR section 1602, which state that the limitations shall:
 - Not apply to any person held liable for causing claimant's injury by having acted with reckless disregard for the safety of others. (7)
 - Not apply to any person held liable by reason of the applicability (8) of article ten of the labor law.
 - Not apply to any person held liable in a product liability action where the manufacturer of the product is not a party to the action (10)and the claimant establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that jurisdiction over the manufacturer could not with due diligence be obtained and that if the manufacturer were a party to the action, liability for claimant's injury would have been imposed upon said manufacturer by reason of the doctrine of strict liability, to the extent of the equitable share of such manufacturer.
 - Not apply to any parties found to have acted knowingly or (11)

LAW OFFICES WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. 180 MAIDEN LANE YORK, N.Y. 10038 intentionally, and in concert, to cause the acts or failures upon which liability is based; provided, however, that nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to create, impair, alter, limit, modify, enlarge, abrogate, or restrict any theory of liability upon

- which said parties may be held liable to the claimant.

 202. Therefore, defendants are jointly and severally liable to the plaintiff for the injuries and damages sustained by him which were directly and proximately caused by plaintiff's exposure to asbestos-containing products and promoted by the defendants based on the several defendants pro rata market share within the market described herein.
 - 203. Plaintiff was seriously injured.
- 204. By reason of the foregoing, plaintiff(s) has been damaged as against each defendant in the sum of TEN MILLION DOLLARS (\$10,000,000.00) in compensatory damages and TEN MILLION DOLLARS (\$10,000,000.00) in punitive damages.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION AS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 205. Plaintiff(s) repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "1" through "204", inclusive with the same force and effect as if hereinafter set forth at length.
- 206. Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents and/or servants were/are owners, possessors, lessors, lessees, operators, controllers, managers, supervisors, general contractors, subcontractors, architects, engineers or were otherwise responsible for the maintenance, control and/or safety at the premises on which plaintiff was lawfully frequenting and exposed to asbestos.
 - 207. Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents, and/or servants had a legal duty

LAW OFFICES

OF

WEITZ

&
LUXENBERG, P.C.

MAIDEN LANE
YORK, N.Y. 10038

Page 57 of 65

to maintain and keep those premises in a safe and proper condition.

- At all times relevant hereto, plaintiff was lawfully frequenting the 208. premises on which plaintiff was exposed to asbestos.
- At all times relevant hereto, plaintiffs presence on the premises on 209. which plaintiff was exposed to asbestos was known or knowable to the defendants.
- Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents, and/or servants negligently created, caused and/or permitted to exist, an unsafe, hazardous and/or dangerous condition to exist by specifying, using and/or permitting the presence of asbestos and/or asbestos containing products, equipment and/or fixtures at the premises where the plaintiff was exposed to asbestos.
- Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents, and/or servants negligently permitted a defective, hazardous and/or dangerous condition to remain uncorrected and/or unchanged at the premises at which the plaintiff was present and exposed to asbestos.
- Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents, and/or servants knew, or should have known, of the existence of the unsafe, hazardous and/or dangerous condition and failed to correct this dangerous condition.
- Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents, and/or servants knew, or should have known, of the existence of the unsafe, hazardous and/or dangerous condition and failed 213. to warn the plaintiff of the existence of the dangerous condition and/or provide the plaintiff the means to protect himself from this dangerous condition.
 - 214. Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents, and/or servants were negligent in that they violated the common law duty to maintain a safe work place for individuals, such as plaintiff, who were working in, lawfully frequenting and exposed to asbestos on premises owned, maintained and/or controlled by them.

AW OFFICES WEITZ IXENBERG, P.C. MAIDEN LANE 'ORK, N.Y. 10038

- 215. Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents, and/or servants violated New York Labor Law sections 200 et seq., including, but not limited to, sections 200 and 241 (6) and the New York Industrial Code 12 NYCR sections 12 and 23 by their failure to provide a safe workplace, including, but not limited to, failing to make reasonable inspections to detect dangerous conditions and hidden defects and to warn of dangers of which they knew or should have known, and by their failure to provide reasonable and adequate protection for individuals, such as plaintiff, who was lawfully at a construction site owned, maintained and/or controlled by them. Inter alia:
 - (a) Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents and/or servants violated the New York State Industrial Code section 12, subsection 1.4, which states that:
 - (a) All operations or processes which produce air contaminants shall be so conducted that the generation, release or dissemination of such contaminants is kept at the lowest practicable level in compliance with this Part (rule) using proper control or protective procedures and equipment.
 - (b) (1) Every employer shall effect compliance with the provisions of this Part (rule) relating to the prevention and removal of air contaminants, the storage and use of flammable liquids and the provision, installation, operation and maintenance of control or protective equipment.
 - (2) Every employer shall instruct his employees as to the hazards of their work, the use of the control or protective equipment and their responsibility for complying with the provisions of this Part (rule).
 - (3) No employer shall suffer or permit an employee to work in a room in which their exist dangerous air contaminants in a work atmosphere.
 - (4) No employer shall suffer or permit dangerous air contaminants to accumulate or remain in any place or area subject to the provisions of this Part (rule).

LAW OFFICES

OF

WEITZ

&
LUXENBERG, P.C.

MAIDEN LANE
(ORK, N.Y. 10038

Case 1:07-cv-08019-SHS

- Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents, and/or servants violated New York State Industrial Code section 12, subsection 1.5, which states that: (b)
 - Personal respiratory protective equipment shall not be used in lieu of other control methods, except for (1) (a) protection of employees in emergencies and in the repair, maintenance or adjustment or equipment or processes, or upon specific approval by the board
- Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents, and/or servants violated New York State Industrial Code section 12, subsection 1.6 (formerly section (D) 12.9), which states that:
 - One or more of the following methods shall be used to prevent, remove or control dangerous air contaminants: (a)
 - Substitution of a material or a method which does not (1)produce dangerous air contaminants.
 - Local exhaust ventilation conforming to the requirements (2) of Industrial Code Part (Rule No.) 18.
 - Dilution ventilation. (3)
 - Application of water or other wetting agent. (4)
 - Enclosure or isolation (5)
 - other methods approved by the board. (6)
- As evidence of defendants', their subsidiaries', agents' and/or servants' violation of the abovementioned sections of the New York State (d) Industrial Code, defendants, their subsidiaries, agents and/or servants permitted asbestos dust concentrations above the 5mppcf threshold limit value specified in section 12, subsection 3.1, without providing the required reasonable and adequate protective measures, thereby rendering the premises unsafe.
- Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents and/or servants violated section 23-3.2(d) of the New York State Industrial Code which states that: (e)
 - Provision shall be made at every demolition site to control the amount of airborne dust resulting from demolition operations by (d) wetting the debris and other materials with appropriate spraying agents or by other means.
- Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents, and/or servants negligently 216. designed the construction of the premises on which plaintiff was lawfully frequenting and

LAW OFFICES WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. MAIDEN LANE ORK, N.Y. 10038

Page 60 of 65

exposed to asbestos by specifying the use of asbestos containing products, equipment and/or fixtures at the premises.

- Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents, and/or servants negligently 217. breached their contractual duty to the plaintiff, third-party beneficiary, to provide for the health, welfare and/or safety of those individuals, such as plaintiff, lawfully frequenting the premises on which plaintiff was exposed to asbestos.
- Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents and/or servants, breached their 218. warranty to provide for the health, welfare, and/or safety of those individuals, such as plaintiff, lawfully frequenting the premises on which plaintiff was exposed to asbestos.
- 219. Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents and/or servants breached the duty imposed on possessors of land, contractors and subcontractors and codified in the Restatement of the Law, Second, Torts, including, but not limited to, sections 343, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 414A, 416, 422, 424 and 427.
- These acts and/or omissions of the defendants constitute willful 220. misconduct and conscious disregard of the health of the public, including the plaintiff.
- As a direct and proximate result of the defendants' conduct, plaintiff was exposed to asbestos and asbestos containing products and sustained serious injuries and described above.
 - Plaintiff was seriously injured. 222.
- By reason of the aforegoing, plaintiff has been damaged as against each 223. defendant in the sum of TENMILLION DOLLARS (\$10,000,000.00) in compensatory damages and TEN MILLION DOLLARS (\$10,000,000.00) in punitive damages.

LAW OFFICES WEITZ LUXENBERG, P.C. MAIDEN LANE YORK, N.Y. 10038

AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS

- 224. Plaintiff repeats and reiterates each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "1" through "223" inclusive with the same force and effect as if hereinafter set forth at length.
- 225. Defendants MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY and AMERICAN OPTICAL CORP. were engaged in the business of manufacturing and selling respiratory devices commonly known as "dust masks."
- 226. Defendants MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY and AMERICAN OPTICAL CORP. knew or in the exercise of reasonable diligence should have ascertained that its "dust masks" would be used without inspection for defects, and by placing same on the market, represented that these masks would safely preclude the inhalation of asbestos fibers.
- 227. The dust masks manufactured, distributed and sold by the Defendants MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY and AMERICAN OPTICAL CORP. were dangerous and defective, in that the same failed to protect against the inhalation.
- the Defendants MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY and AMERICAN OPTICAL CORP. were placed into the stream of commerce in a defective, unsafe and inherently dangerous condition, at the time they left the hands of the Defendants, and were expected to, and did, reach the intended users including this Plaintiff without substantial change in the condition in which these masks were originally manufactured and sold.
 - 229. The dust masks manufactured, sold and distributed by the Defendants

LAW OFFICES

OF

WEITZ

&
LUXENBERG, P.C.

MAIDEN LANE
(ORK, N.Y. 10038

MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY and AMERICAN OPTICAL CORP. did not contain adequate warnings, instructions for use or safety precautions to persons who reasonably and foreseeably came into contact with and otherwise used said masks.

- 230. As a direct and proximate result of the sale by the Defendants MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY and AMERICAN OPTICAL CORP. to the Plaintiff's employers and others of said defective and unreasonably dangerous dust masks, the Plaintiff sustained serious and permanent asbestos related injuries and suffered a loss of enjoyment of his life.
 - 231. Plaintiff was seriously injured.
- 232. By reason of the aforegoing, plaintiff has been damaged as against each defendant MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY and AMERICAN OPTICAL CORP. in the sum of TEN MILLION DOLLARS (\$10,000,000.00) in compensatory damages and TEN MILLION DOLLARS (\$10,000,000.00) in punitive damages.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS

- 233. Plaintiff(s) repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "1" through "232", inclusive with the same force and effect as if hereinafter set forth at length.
- 234. Plaintiff husband/wife is a resident of the state alleged in the individual complaint. Plaintiff husband/wife was and still is the lawful husband/wife of plaintiff:
- 235. By reason of the foregoing, plaintiff husband/wife has been deprived of the services and consortium of her (his) husband/wife including but not limited to her (his) support, services, love, companionship, affection, society, physical relations and solace, and she

LAW OFFICES

OF

WEITZ

LUXENBERG, P.C.

MAIDEN LANE

ORK, N.Y. 10038

suffered a loss of enjoyment of life, all to her (his) damage as against each defendant in the sum of FIVE MILLION DOLLARS (\$5,000,000.00).

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) demand judgment against the defendants jointly and severally on each cause of action with interest together with costs and disbursements in this action.

Dated: New York, New York June 29, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 180 Maiden Lane New York, New York 10038 (212) 588-5500

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
LUXENBERG, P.C.
MAIDEN LANE
ORK, N.Y. 10038

STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

The undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Court of New York State, shows:

Deponent is an associate of the firm WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C., Counsel for the plaintiff(s) in the within action; deponent has read the foregoing Summons and Verified Complaint and knows the contents thereof; the same is true to deponent's own knowledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and that as to those matters deponent believes it to be true. This verification is made by deponent and not by plaintiff(s) because plaintiff(s) resides outside of the County of New York where the deponent maintains his office.

Dated: New York, New York June 29, 2004

LISA N BUSCH, ESQ.

LAW OFFICES

OF

WEITZ

&
LUXENBERG, P.C.

MAIDEN LANE
ORK, N.Y. 10038

Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.

Attorney(s) for

To Attorney(s) for	WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for PLAINTIFFS 180 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038 212-558-5500	SUMMONS and COMPLAINT NYAL - WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. STANDARD ASBESTOS COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURY NO. 7	A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO, et al. Defendants.	-against-	This Document Relates To: All Cases Plaintiff(s),	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	Index No. 40,000/88
-----------------------	---	--	---	-----------	---	--	---------------------